

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 0 3 2005

RiverPark Towers 333 West San Carlos Street Suite 600 San Jose, CA 95110 Direct Tel: (408) 975-7970 Facsimile: (408) 975-7501

sneal@kenyon.com

FACS	IMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET							
To: Examiner Jonathan J. JOHNSON	FROM: Stephen T. Neal							
COMPANY: USPTO	DATE: November 3, 2005							
FAX NUMBER: (571) 273-8300	TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER:							
PHONE NUMBER:	sender's reference number: 12553/94							
RF: 10/603,444	YOUR REPERENCE NUMBER: Group Art Unit; 1725							
	D PLEASE COMMENT DPLEASE REPLY CONFIRMATION NAL WILL FOLLOW ORIGINAL WILL NOT FOLLOW							
Notes/Comments:	APPEAL BRIEF							
 Fax Cover Sheet (1) Transmittal Form (1) Fee Transmittal Form (and or Appeal Brief (11) Total: (15) pages 	ne copy) (2) NOV 0 4 2005							

Certificate of Facsimile Transmittal

I hereby certify that the above referenced correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile under 37 C.F.R. §1.11 to Examiner Jonathan J. JOHNSON at facsimile number: (571) 273-8300 at the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

Signature:

Dated: November 3, 2005

Barbara Vance

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION, INCLUDING ANY ATTACHMENTS, IS SUBJECT TO THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE, THE ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT PRIVILEGE OR IS CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INTENDED RECIPIENT. IF THE READER OF THIS NOTICE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THIS TRANSMISSION TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, WE HEREBY NOTIFY YOU THAT ANY USE, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF ALL, OR PART OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU RECEIVED THIS TRANSMISSION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE (408) 975-7500 OR FACSIMILE (408) 975-7501, SO THAT WE MAY ARRANGE FOR ITS RETURN OR DESTRUCTION AT OUR COST. THANK YOU.

RECEIVED

NOV 0 3 2005

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0551-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number,

KENYON KENYON

TRANSMITTA	Application Num	ber	10/603,444					
FORM	Filing Date		June 24, 2003					
1 01111		First Named Inve	entor	Ming Gao YAO et al.				
		Art Unit		1725				
(to be used for all correspondence after	or initial filing)	Examiner Name		Jonath	an J. JOHNSON			
Total Number of Pages in this Submiss	ion 15	Attorney Docket	Number	12553/9)4	フ		
	ENCLO	SURES (check all t	that apply)					
Fee Transmittal Form	Orawing(s	3)		After Allowance Communication to TC				
Fee Attached	Licensing	-related Papers			munication to Board			
Amendment / Reply	Petition				and Interferences munication to TC			
Amendment/ Keply					, Brief, Reply Brief)			
After Final		Convert to a I Application		Proprietary Information				
Affidavits/declaration(s)	Power of Address	Attorney, Revocation Correspondence	, 🗅	Status Letter				
Extension of Time Request	Terminal (Disclaimer		Other Enclosure(s) (please identity below):				
Express Abandonment Request	Request fo		1.1	1. Facsimile Cover Sheet				
П.,	CD, Numb	er of CD(s)						
Information Disclosure Statement	Land	iscape Table on CD						
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	Remarks				,			
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application								
Reply to Missing Parts								
under 37 CFR1.52 or 1.53								
	IGNATURE OF	APPLICANT, ATTO	RNEY, OR	AGENT				
Firm	Kenyon &	Kenyon						
Signature	Stephen	- TM	al					
Printed Name	Stephen T	. Neal	•					
Date	November	3, 2005	Reg. No.	47,815				
		TE OF TRANSMISS						
I hereby certify that this correspondent Service with sufficient postage as fir	ce is being facs	imile transmitted to	the USPTO	or deposited	with the United States Po	stal		
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date	shown below.	M/S: APPEAL E	RIEF- PA	TENT/ Fa	x No.: (571) 273-83	00		
Signature 50	ROOR	2 Van	(8_					
Typed or printed name Barbai	ra Vance			Date	November 3, 200	5		

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or, suggestions, for predemark office, U.S. Department of Commence, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0851-0032 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

CEE TO A MOMETTAL				Complete if Known								\		
FEE TRANSMITTAL for FY 2005					Application Number			10/6	10/603,444 E				7	
					Filing	Date		Jun	June 24, 2003 CENTRA Ming Gao YAO et al.				= ₽	
					First	Named I	nventor	_	g Gao YAO	et al.		- rax C	ENTER	
Effective 10/01/2004. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.				Exan						NO	/ 0 2 2	inne		
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27				Art U	Art Unit 1725						<u>- U J </u>	÷µo		
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 500.00				Atton	Attorney Docket No. 12553/94						ブ			
ME	THOD	OF PAY	MENT (che	ck all that app	ily)	T -			FEE	CALCULATION (C	ordinued)			7
	Credit o		Money [Other 🔲		3. A	ODITIO	NAL FE		(-	┥
Order Deposit Account:				Large	Entity	Small 6	intity							
Deposit Account Number					For For For For									
				Code	(\$)	Code	(\$)		Description	Fee	Paid			
					1051 1052	130 50	2051 2052	65 25	Surcharge - late filing				.	
Account Kenyon & Kenyon				ا س	20	2002	23	Surcharge - tate prov sheet.	istonat tijilda ter	e or cover				
				1053	130	1053	130	Non-English specifics	ation					
The Director is sutherized to: (check all that apply) Charge fee(s) Indicated below Credit any overpayments				1812	2,520	1812	2,520	For filing a request fo	•					
Charge any a	dditiona	i fee(s) ç	or any unde	rpayment of fo	9 0 (5)	1804	920"	1604	920*	Requesting publication Examiner action	on of SIR prior i	to	1 1	ļ
☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee to the above-identified deposit account.					1805	1,840*	1805	1,940*	Requesting publication	on of SIR after				
		EEE C	ALCULATI			1251	120	2251	60	Extension for reply w	ithin first month	1		Ì
1. BASIC F	71 1010		ACCOLATI	-		1252	450	2252	225	Extension for reply w	ithin second ma	onth		
Large Entity	_Small f					1253	1,020	2253		Extension for repty w				
Fee Fee	Fee	Fee	Fee Descr	<u>lption</u>		1254	1,590	2254		Extension for reply wi				1
	Code	(\$) 205	h latter . Ada	•	Fee Paid	1255 1401	2,160 500	2255 2401		Extension for reply wi	ithin fifth month)	<u> </u>	
	2001 2002	395 175	Utility filing Design filin		\vdash	1402	500	2402		Notice of Appeal Fiting a brief in suppo	rt af an anneal	ı	500.00	ŀ
	2003	275	Plant filing	-		1403	1.000	2403	500	Request for oral hear		l	500.00	
	2004	395	Reissue fili	ng fee		1451	1,510	1451		Petition to institute a	•	eedina	H	į
1005 160 2005 80 Provisional filling fee SUBTOTAL (1) (5) 0				1452	500	2452		Petition to revive - un	•			ľ		
	•	OBIUIA	LE (1)		(S) O	1453	1,500	2453	750	Petition to revive — un	Intentional			
2. EXTRA CLA	AIM FE	ES FO	RUTILIT	AND REIS	SUE	1501	1,400	2501	685	Utility issue fee (or re	issue)			ł
		ε.	dra Claims	Fee from		1502	490	2502		Design issue fee				1
Total Claims		ت ⊶ = ا	Cianta Cianta	X 50,00	Fee Paid	1503 1460	650	2503		Plant issue fee				
Independent	╡~	_		A 60.00	"	1807	130 60	1450 1807		Petitions to the Comm			-	
Claims		*		X 200.00	-	1806	180	1806		Processing fee under Submission of Informa	•			
Multiple Depend ent				×	=	8021	40	8021	40	Recording each pater property (times number	t assignment g	er		
Large Entity		LEntity				1809	790	2809		Filing a submission at				ſ
Fee (5)	Fee Code	Fe: (\$)		scription			}		•	(37 CFR § 1.129(a))				1
1202 50	2202	25	Claims	in excess of 20		1810	790	2810		For each additional in examined (37 CFR § :		;	1	1
1201 200 1203 360	2201 2203	100	•	ndent daims in a dependent clair										
1204 200	2204	100	, ™Reiss	LLO independent		1801	790	2801		equest for Continued		RCE)		1
original patent				1802	900	1802		lèquest for expedited : if a design application				1		
1205 50 2205 25 Rossue Claims in excess in 20 and over original patent					Citres "	e (specif	W				l		1	
SUBTOTAL (2) (5) 0					1			Eas De'			l		1	
or number previously paid, if greater, For Relssues, see above						Reaut	ed by Bu	sic Liliuğ	ree Paid		TOTAL (3)	(\$) 50 (0.00	
SUBMITTED BY										Com	plete (if applicab	Val		<u> </u>
Namo (Print/Type) Stephen T. Neal Registration No. (Att						ttomey/A	gent)	47,81	15	Telephone	(408) 9		10	†
Signature Stephen J. Wia							-		Date	Novem	ber 3	2005	ţ	
			777							. 1		·		,

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentistity is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and eutomitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will very openating upon the including cases. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burdon, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Petent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. If you need assistance in completing this form, call 1-800-P70-9199 (1-600-786-9189) and select option 2.

NOV-03-2005 14:44

KENYON KENYON

NOV 0 3 2005

14089757501 P.05

F. 6.

Patent

Attorney Docket No.: 12553/94

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS

Ming Gao YAO et al.

SERIAL NO.

10/603,444

FILED

June 24, 2003

FOR

SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR MANUFACTURE OF A

HARD DISK DRIVE ARM AND BONDING OF MAGNETIC HEAD TO SUSPENSION ON A DRIVE

ARM

GROUP ART UNIT

1725

EXAMINER

Jonathan J. JOHNSON

VIA FACSIMILE

M/S: APPEAL BRIEF - PATENT

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby centry, than this correspondence is being facismile transmitted to the United States Paleit and Trademark Office via facismile numbers (571) 273-8300 of deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
M/S. APPEAL BRIET PATENT, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Atexandra 374-2213-1450 on 1985.

Dated: November 3: 200

Barbara Vance

ATTENTION: Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

APPEAL BRIEF

Dear Sir:

This brief is in furtherance of the Notice of Appeal, filed in this case on September 6, 2005.

11/04/2005 CNGUYEN 00000066 110600

10603444

01 FC:1402

500.00 DA

1. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest in this matter is SAE Magnetics (H.K.) Ltd. (Recorded November 17, 2003, Reel/Frame 014698/0612).

2. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

There are no related appeals.

3. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

Claims 16-28 are pending in the application. Claims 16-23 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a). Claims 24-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

4. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

No amendments are currently outstanding.

5. SUMMARY OF THE CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

Independent claim 16 recites a method for manufacturing a data storage device. A placement device 305 physically stabilizes a hard drive head device 321 for electrical bonding of the head device 321 to a hard drive arm component 324. (See Figure 3 and p. 5, paragraph 14). The placement device 305 also physically stabilizes the hard drive arm component 324. (See Figure 3 and p. 5, paragraph 14). The placement device 305 uses sub-ambient pressure to

maintain the position of said head device 321 with respect to said arm component 324 for said electrical bonding. (See Figure 3 and p. 5, paragraph 14).

6. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

A. Claims 16-23 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants' Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,566,207 to Adams et al., (hereinafter "Adams").

7. ARGUMENT

A. Claims 16-23 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over the AAPA in view of Adams.

Independent claim 16 of the present invention describes a method for manufacturing a data storage device. A placement device physically stabilizes a hard drive head device for electrical bonding of the head device to a hard drive arm component. The placement device also physically stabilizes the hard drive arm component. The placement device uses sub-ambient pressure to maintain the position of said head device with respect to said arm component for said electrical bonding. Claims 17-23 depend from claim 16.

Appellants respectfully submit that neither the AAPA or Adams teach or suggest the placement device physically stabilizing the hard drive head device and the hard drive arm component, as recited in claims 1, 9, and 19. Adams, which discloses using vacuum chuck to mount a silicon chip on a gold-surfaced mounting pad, does not disclose this feature nor, does the Examiner claim that it does. (See Col. 3, lines 68-75). Instead, the Examiner relies on the AAPA as embodied in Figure 2. The AAPA states:

Claims 17-23 depend from claim 16.

A base support 211 and a first clamping cover 220 stabilize the magnetic head 202. A second clamping cover 221 stabilizes the suspension tongue 206. A second base support (not shown) secures the load beam 212.

(See AAPA, p. 3, paragraph 4).

In other words, the AAPA has one device, the clamping cover 220, stabilizing the magnetic head device and a second device, the second base support, securing the load beam 212, rather than a single placement device stabilizing both. Therefore, the cited references do not disclose all the elements of the claim, as set forth in claims 16. Appellants respectfully submit, therefore, that elements of claim 16 are neither shown nor suggested by the cited reference.

In addition and in the alternative, Appellants respectfully submit that there is no suggestion or motivation to combine the background of the application and Adams beyond the impermissible use of hindsight. The Examiner references Col. 3, lines 50-75 of Adams as providing a motive to combine. The relevant portion of Adams cited by the Examiner states:

Alternatively, the chip 14 may be held in a vacuum chuck 64, also shown in FIG. 5, for placing the chip 14 on the mounting pad 16 of the lead frame 18, and may be employed for applying slight pressure downwardly, if desired, of a magnitude of about a few grams. (Adams, Col. 3, lines 68-75).

Adams clearly provides no such motive to combine, as the portion cited by the Examiner does not state what advantage may come from replacing the clamps of the AAPA with the vacuum chuck of Adams. Such motive would have to come from the AAPA, meaning that the motive combine came from the Appellants own insight.

Therefore, Appellants submit that a *prima facie* case of obviousness has not been made.

The MPEP requires that the references must suggest making the combinations. MPEP §2141.01 (citing Hodosh v. Block Drug Co., Inc.); §706.02(j) (the initial burden is on

the examiner to provide a convincing line of reasoning with explicit or implicit suggestions to combine references).

Merely stating that it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine references, without pointing to a specific hint or suggestion to combine, has been rejected by the Federal Circuit, as an invalid basis of rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103. *In re Lee*, 277 F.3d 1338, 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2002) (the court rejected a conclusory statement that it would have been obvious to combine the references without evidence of a teaching, motivation, or suggestion to select and combine the references, citing numerous cases); *In re Dembiczak*, 175 F.3d 994,999 (Fed. Cir. 1999) ("Our case law makes clear that the best defense against the subtle but powerful attraction of a hindsight-based obviousness analysis is rigorous application of the requirement for a showing of the teaching or motivation to combine prior art references.") It would be impossible for these references to be combined minus hindsight. Any motive to combine present in the background section of the application would be from the applicant or hindsight and not the result of any prior art.

Accordingly reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claims 16-23 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) is respectfully requested.

Appellants therefore respectfully request that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences reverse the Examiner's decision rejecting claims 1-26 and direct the Examiner to pass the case to issue.

NOV-03-2005 14:46

The Examiner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees, which may be necessary for consideration of this paper to Kenyon & Kenyon Deposit Account No. 11-0600.

Respectfully submitted,

KENYON & KENYON

Date: November 3, 2005

Stephen T. Neal

(Reg. No. 47,815)

KENYON & KENYON 333 West San Carlos St., Suite 600 San Jose, CA 95110

Telephone: (408) 975-7500

Facsimile:

(408) 975-7501

APPENDIX

(Brief of Appellant Ming Gao YAO et al. U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/603,444)

8. CLAIMS ON APPEAL

16. A method for manufacturing a data storage device comprising:

physically stabilizing, by a placement device, a hard drive head device for electrical bonding of said head device to a hard drive arm component;

physically stabilizing, by the placement device, the hard drive arm component; and utilizing, by said placement device, sub-ambient pressure to maintain the position of said head device with respect to said arm component for said electrical bonding.

- 17. The method of claim 16, wherein said hard drive head device is a hard disk drive magnetic head.
- 18. The method of claim 17, wherein said hard drive arm component is a suspension tongue.
- 19. The method of claim 16, wherein said electrical bonding is ball bonding.
- 20. The method of claim 19, wherein said electrical bonding is a type selected from the group consisting of gold ball bonding (GBB), solder bump bonding (SBB), ultrasonic welding, and stitch bonding.
- 21. The method of claim 20, wherein said placement device includes a first vacuum tube structure for providing said sub-ambient pressure to affix said first vacuum tube structure to said

P.12

head device.

- 22. The method of claim 21, further comprising:
 providing an alignment pin protruding from said placement device.
- 23. The method of claim 22, wherein said alignment pin is capable of being inserted into a suspension tooling hole for ensuring said proper alignment.
- 24. The method of claim 22, further comprising:

 providing sub-ambient pressure, by a second vacuum tube;

 vacuum-coupling said first vacuum tube structure to said head device; and

 vacuum-coupling said second vacuum tube structure to a suspension load beam attached
 to said arm component.
- 25. The method of claim 24, wherein the first vacuum tube structure includes a step structure mate-able to an edge of the head device.
- 26. The method of claim 25, wherein said step structure is mate-able to at least the leading edge of said head device.
- 27. The method of claim 24, wherein said first vacuum tube structure is a material selected from the group consisting of Stainless Steel, Copper, Aluminum Oxide, Polyimide, and Ceramic.

28. The method of claim 24, wherein said second vacuum tube structure is a material selected from the group consisting of Stainless Steel, Copper, Aluminum Oxide, Polyimide, and Ceramic.

9. EVIDENCE APPENDIX

No further evidence has been submitted with this Appeal Brief.

14089757501 P.15

NOV-03-2005 14:47

KENYON KENYON

Application No.: 10/603,444 Date: November 3, 2005 APPEAL BRIEF - PATENT

10. RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

Per Section 2 above, there are no related proceedings to the present Appeal.